arXiv:1510.06022v1 [math.OA] 19 Oct 2015

SEQUENCES FROM ZERO ENTROPY NONCOMMUTATIVE TORAL AUTOMORPHISMS AND SARNAK CONJECTURE

WEN HUANG, ZHENGXING LIAN, SONG SHAO, AND XIANGDONG YE

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study C^*-algebra version of Sarnak Conjecture for noncommutative toral automorphisms. Let A_Θ be a noncommutative torus and α_Θ be the noncommutative toral automorphism arising from a matrix $S \in GL(d,\mathbb{Z})$. We show that if the Voiculescu-Brown entropy of α_Θ is zero, then the sequence $\{\rho(\alpha_\Theta^n u)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a sum of a nilsequence and a zero-density-sequence, where $u \in A_\Theta$ and ρ is any state on A_Θ. Then by a result of Green and Tao [8], this sequence is linearly disjoint from the Möbius function.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Sarnak Conjecture.

The Möbius function $\mu : \mathbb{N} \to \{-1, 0, 1\}$ is defined by $\mu(1) = 1$ and

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k & \text{if } n \text{ is a product of } k \text{ distinct primes;} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Let (X, T) be a (topological) dynamical system, namely X is a compact metric space and $T : X \to X$ a homeomorphism. We say a sequence ξ is *realized* in (X, T) if there is an $f \in C(X)$ and an $x \in X$ such that $\xi(n) = f(T^n x)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A sequence ξ is called *deterministic* if it is realized in a system with zero topological entropy. Here is the conjecture by Sarnak [22]:

Sarnak Conjecture: *The Möbius function μ is linearly disjoint from any deterministic sequence ξ. That is,*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu(n)\xi(n) = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Please refer to [22, 23, 24, 20] for more details and progress on this conjecture.

1.2. Sarnak Conjecture from the viewpoint of C^*-algebra.

By Gelfand-Naimark theory, there is a direct equivalent form of Sarnak Conjecture in C^*-algebras. Let (X, T) be a dynamical system. Then T induces an endomorphism $\hat{T}$ of the commutative C^*-algebra $C(X)$ by $\hat{T}(f) = f \circ T$. For each $x \in X$, it

Date: Oct. 07, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46L87, 11K31 .

Key words and phrases. noncommutative tori; Möbius function; entropy.

Huang is partially supported by NNSF for Distinguished Young Schooler (11225105), and all authors are supported by NNSF of China (11171320, 11371339, 11431012, 11571335).

gives a pure state ρ_x on $C(X)$ by $\rho_x(f) = f(x)$. Hence (1.2) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu(n)\rho_x(\hat{T}^n(f)) = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

The Voiculescu-Brown entropy (or contractive approximation entropy) of $\hat{T}$ is zero if and only if the entropy of T is zero [12]. By Gelfand-Naimark theorem, it is easy to deduce that Sarnak conjecture is equivalent to the following statement: *Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be a commutative C^*-algebra, and let α be an *-automorphism of $\mathcal{U}$ with zero Voiculescu-Brown entropy (or zero contractive approximation entropy). Let ρ be a state on $\mathfrak{U}$. Then for each $u \in \mathfrak{U}$*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu(n)\rho(\alpha^n u) = 0.$$

Hanfeng Li [19] points out that in fact Sarnak conjecture is equivalent to the following two forms which look much stronger. We will outline the main ideas how to prove the equivalences in Section 2.

Sarnak Conjecture (Voiculescu-Brown entropy version) [19]**:** *Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be an exact C^*-algebra, and let α be a *-automorphism of $\mathcal{U}$ with zero Voiculescu-Brown entropy. Then for each state ρ on $\mathfrak{U}$ and each $u \in \mathfrak{U}$, the sequence $\{\rho(\alpha^n u)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is linearly disjoint from Möbius function, i.e.*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu(n)\rho(\alpha^n u) = 0.$$

Sarnak Conjecture (Contractive approximation entropy version) [19]**:** *Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be a C^*-algebra, and let α be a *-automorphism of $\mathcal{U}$ with zero contractive approximation entropy. Then for each state ρ on $\mathfrak{U}$ and each $u \in \mathfrak{U}$, the sequence $\{\rho(\alpha^n u)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is linearly disjoint from Möbius function, i.e.*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu(n)\rho(\alpha^n u) = 0.$$

Note that Voiculescu-Brown entropy version of Sarnak Conjecture was also studied in [28].

1.3. **Main result of the paper.**

The main aim of the paper is to study the sequence realized by noncommutative toral automorphisms with zero Voiculescu-Brown entropy. Let $\Theta = (\theta_{jk})_{1\le j,k\le d}$ be a real skew-symmetric $d \times d$ matrix. The noncommutative d-torus A_Θ is defined as the universal C^* -algebra generated by unitaries $u_1, \ldots, u_d$ subject to the relations

$$u_j u_k = e^{2\pi i\theta_{jk}} u_k u_j$$

for all $1 \leq j,k \leq d$. For any matrix $S = (s_{jk})_{1\leq j,k\leq d}$ in $GL(d,\mathbb{Z})$ there is an isomorphism $\alpha : A_{S'\Theta S} \to A_\Theta$ determined by

$$\alpha_\Theta(\hat{u}_j) = u_1^{s_{1j}} u_2^{s_{2j}} \dots u_d^{s_{dj}}$$

for each $j = 1,\dots,d$, where S' is the transpose of the matrix S, $\hat{u}_1,\dots,\hat{u}_d$ are the generators of $A_{S'\Theta S}$. Thus when $S'\Theta S \equiv \Theta \pmod{M_d(\mathbb{Z})}$ we obtain an automorphism of A_Θ, which we refer to as a *noncommutative toral automorphism*. Note that noncommutative tori are exact C^*-algebras. Their Voiculescu–Brown entropies are studied in [13].

Now assume that the Voiculescu-Brown entropy of α_Θ is zero, and let ρ be a state on A_Θ and $u \in A_\Theta$. We claim that the sequence $\{\rho(\alpha_\Theta^n u)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is "almost" a nilsequence. Nilsequences are natural generalization of almost periodic sequences, and we leave the definitions of nilsequences in Section 2. To be precise, one of main results is the following.

Theorem A *Let A_Θ be a noncommutative torus and α_Θ be its automorphism. If the Voiculescu-Brown entropy of α_Θ is zero, then for each state ρ and $u \in A_\Theta$, $\{\rho(\alpha_\Theta^n u)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a sum of a nilsequence and a zero-density-sequence.*

Since the zero-density-sequence is always linear disjoint from Möbius function (Remark 3.8), by Theorem A and Green-Tao's result in [8], we have the following corollary:

Corollary 1.1. *Let A_Θ be a noncommutative torus and α_Θ be its automorphism. If the Voiculescu-Brown entropy of α_Θ is zero, then for each state ρ and $u \in A_\Theta$, $\{\rho(\alpha_\Theta^n u)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is linearly disjoint form Möbius function.*

We will deduce the proof of Theorem A to the following theorem, which is also of independent interest. Recall that an *integral polynomial* is a real coefficient polynomial $p(n)$ taking on integer values on the integers.

Theorem B *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. Let $U_1,\dots,U_d \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be unitary operators and $p_1(n),\dots,p_d(n)$ be integral polynomials. If the group of unitary operators, generated by $U_1,\dots,U_d$, is nilpotent, then for each $u,v \in \mathcal{H}$ the sequence*

$$a_n = \langle U_1^{p_1(n)} \dots U_d^{p_d(n)} u, v\rangle$$

is a sum of a nilsequence and a zero-density-sequence.

To prove Theorem B we will need some tools developed in [3, 16]. But for the case when the group generated by $U_1,\dots,U_d$ is abelian, we will give a direct proof.

1.4. **Organization of the paper.**

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 and Section 3, we introduce some basic conceptions and results needed in this paper. To explain the basic ideas we study toral automorphisms in Section 4. Then we study noncommutative toral automorphisms with zero Voiculescu-Brown entropy in Section 5, and show how we deduce Theorem A to Theorem B. For independent interest, we give a direct proof for Theorem B when the group generated by $U_1,\dots,U_d$ is abelian. In section 7, we prove Theorem B.

Acknowledgments. We thank Hanfeng Li for pointing out the C^*-algebra versions of Sarnak Conjecture, and helpful discussions about entropies in C^*-algebras. The second author also thanks the Department of Mathematics, SUNY at Buffalo for the hospitality when staying as a visiting student.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some basic conceptions and results of C^*-algebra needed in this paper. And we outline the proof of the equivalence of original Sarnak conjecture and contractive approximation entropy version [19].

2.1. Voiculescu-Brown entropy and Contractive approximation entropy.

The content of this subsection is from [4, 12, 13], please refer to them for more details.

2.1.1. *Voiculescu-Brown entropy.*

Definition 2.1. [4] Let A be a C^*-algebra and $\pi : A \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be a faithful (possibly degenerate) *-representation of A.

(1) $Pf(A) = \{\omega{:}\, \omega \subset A \text{ is a finite set }\}$.
(2) $CPA(\pi, A) = \{(\phi, \psi, B) : \text{where } \phi : A \to B, \psi : B \to B(\mathcal{H}) \text{ are contractive completely positive maps and } \dim(B) < \infty\}$.
(3) $rcp(\pi, \omega, \delta) = \inf\{\text{rank}(B) : (\phi, \psi, B) \in CPA(\pi, A) \text{ and } \|\psi \circ \phi(x) - \pi(x)\| < \delta \text{ for all } x \in \omega\}$, where rank$(B)$ is the dimension of a maximal abelian subalgebra of B.

The notations above stand for the "finite parts" of A, the completely positive approximations of $(\pi; A)$ and the completely positive δ-*rank* of ω with respect to π, respectively. The δ-*rank* of ω is defined to be ∞ if no such approximation exists.

Now assume that we have an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$, and set

$$\begin{aligned} ht(\pi, \alpha, \omega, \delta) &= \limsup \frac{1}{n} \log rcp(\pi, \omega \cup \alpha\omega \cup \ldots \cup \alpha^{n-1}\omega, \delta), \\ ht(\pi, \alpha, \omega) &= \sup_{\delta > 0} hc(\alpha, \omega, \delta), \\ ht(\pi, \alpha) &= \sup_{\omega \in Pf(A)} hc(\alpha, \omega) = \text{Voiculescu-Brown entropy of } \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Then $ht(\pi, \alpha)$ is called the *Voiculescu-Brown entropy* of α (*VB entropy, for short*) of α.

2.1.2. *Contractive approximation entropy.*

Let X and Y be Banach spaces and $\gamma : X \to Y$ a bounded linear map. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$ the collection of finite subsets of X. For each $\Omega \in \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ and $\delta > 0$ we denote by $\text{CA}(\gamma, \Omega, \delta)$ the collection of triples (ϕ, ψ, d) where d is a positive integer and $\phi : X \to l_\infty^d$ and $\psi : l_\infty^d \to Y$ are contractive linear maps such that

$$\|\psi \circ \phi(x) - \gamma(x)\| < \delta$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. By a CA *embedding* of a Banach space X we mean an isometric linear map ι from X to a Banach space Y such that $\text{CA}(\iota, \Omega, \delta)$ is nonempty for every

$\Omega \in \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ and $\delta > 0$. Every Banach space admits a CA embedding; for example, the canonical map $X \to C(B_1(X^*))$ defined via evaluation is a CA embedding, as a standard partition of unity argument shows.

Let $\iota : X \to Y$ be a CA embedding. For each $\Omega \in \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ and $\delta > 0$ we set

$$rc(\Omega, \delta) = \inf\{d : (\phi, \psi, d) \in \mathrm{CA}(\iota, \Omega, \delta)\}$$

This quantity is independent of the CA embedding.

We denote by $\mathrm{IA}(X)$ the collection of all isometric automorphisms of X. For $\alpha \in \mathrm{IA}(X)$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} hc(\alpha, \Omega, \delta) &= \limsup \frac{1}{n} \log rc(\Omega \cup \alpha\Omega \cup \ldots \cup \alpha^{n-1}\Omega, \delta), \\ hc(\alpha, \Omega) &= \sup_{\delta > 0} hc(\alpha, \Omega, \delta), \\ hc(\alpha) &= \sup_{\Omega \in \mathcal{P}_f(X)} hc(\alpha, \Omega) \end{aligned}$$

and refer to the last quantity as the *contractive approximation entropy* or *CA entropy* of α.

2.1.3. Given an isometric automorphism α of a Banach space X, we denote by T_α the weak* homeomorphism of the unit ball $B_1(X^*)$ of the dual of X given by $T_\alpha(w) = w \circ \alpha$. The following theorem shows the relation between the CA entropy of a C^* algebra $\mathfrak{U}$ with its automorphism $\hat{T}$ and the topological entropy $h_{top}(T)$ of its state space $\mathcal{S}$ with the induced map T.

Theorem 2.2. [12, Theorem 3.5] *Let X be a Banach space and $\alpha \in IA(X)$. Let Z be a closed T_α-invariant subset of $B_1(X^*)$ such that the natural linear map $X \to C(Z)$ is an isomorphism from X to a (closed) linear subspace of $C(Z)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) $hc(\alpha) > 0$,
(2) $h_{top}(T_\alpha) > 0$,
(3) $h_{top}(T_\alpha) = \infty$,
(4) $h_{top}(T_\alpha \mid_Z) > 0$.

Note the h_{top} denotes the usual topological entropy.

Theorem 2.3. [12, Proposition 3.1] *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and $T : K \to K$ a homeomorphism. Let α_T be the *-automorphism of $C(X)$ given by $\alpha_T(f) = f \circ T$ for all $f \in C(X)$. Then*

$$ht(\alpha_T) = hc(\alpha_T) = h_{\mathrm{top}}(T).$$

In general there is no inequality relating contractive approximation entropy and Voiculescu-Brown entropy. But if the Voiculescu-Brown entropy is zero then contractive approximation entropy is also zero [12, Proposition 4.2.]. An interesting question [12, Question 4.5] is as follows: *Is there a *-automorphism of an exact C^*-algebra for which the Voiculescu-Brown entropy is strictly greater than the contractive approximation entropy?*

2.2. **Outline of proofs of equivalences of Sarnak Conjecture and its C^*-versions.**

Since the basic ideas are similar, we only outline the proof of the equivalence of original Sarnak conjecture and contractive approximation entropy version. The content of this subsection is pointed out to us by Hanfeng Li [19].

By analysis in subsection 1.2 and Theorem 2.3 one has that Sarnak Conjecture (Contractive approximation entropy version) implies original Sarnak conjecture. Now we show the converse. Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be a C^*-algebra, and let α be a *-automorphism of $\mathcal{U}$ with zero contractive approximation entropy. Consider the state space $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathfrak{U}$. It is well known that $\mathcal{S}$ is compact Hausdorff space under weak* topology. Define $T : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ as $T(\rho) = \rho \circ \alpha$. Then T is a homeomorphism, and $(\mathcal{S}, T)$ is a topological dynamical system. By Theorem 2.2 (i.e. [12, Theorem 3.5]) the topological entropy of $(\mathcal{S}, T)$ is zero, as the contractive approximation entropy of α is zero. Since for each $u \in \mathfrak{U}$, $u(\rho) = \rho(u)$ is a continuous function on $\mathcal{S}$, if Sarnak conjecture holds, it follows that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu(n)\rho(\alpha^n u) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu(n)u(T^n\rho) = 0$$

for each state ρ on $\mathfrak{U}$ and each $u \in \mathfrak{U}$, that is, Sarnak Conjecture (Contractive approximation entropy version) holds.

2.3. **Unitary representation.**

We write $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for the algebra of all bounded linear operators on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and $U(\mathcal{H})$ for the group of unitary operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Let G be a topological group. A *unitary representation* of G on some nonzero Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a map $\pi : G \to U(\mathcal{H})$ which is a continuous (with respect to the strong operator topology) homomorphism, that is, a map $\pi : G \to U(\mathcal{H})$ that satisfies $\pi(xy) = \pi(x)\pi(y)$ and $\pi(x^{-1}) = \pi(x)^{-1} = \pi(x)^*$, and for which $x \mapsto \pi(x)u$ is continuous from G to $\mathcal{H}$ for any $u \in \mathcal{H}$.

2.4. **GNS construction.**

The *Gelfand-Neumark-Segal construction* is one of the basic tools of C^*-algebra theory. One may found it in most monograph on C^*-algebras (see for example, [11, Theorem 4.5.2.]).

By a *representation* of a C^*-algebra $\mathfrak{U}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we mean a * homomorphism φ from $\mathfrak{U}$ into $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If, in addition, φ is one-to-one (hence, a * isomorphism), it is described as a *faithful representation*. If there is a vector x in $\mathcal{H}$ for which the linear subspace $\varphi(\mathfrak{U})x = \{\varphi(A)x : A \in \mathfrak{U}\}$ is everywhere dense in $\mathcal{H}$, φ is called a *cyclic representation*, and x is called a *cyclic vector* (or generating vector) for φ.

Theorem 2.4. *If ρ is a state of a C^*-algebra $\mathfrak{U}$, there is a cyclic representation π_ρ of $\mathfrak{U}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\rho$, and a unit cyclic vector $x_\rho \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$ for π_ρ such that*

$$\rho(u) = \langle \pi_\rho(u)x_\rho, x_\rho \rangle$$

for each $u \in \mathfrak{U}$.

The method used to produce a representation from a state in the proof of above theorem is called *GNS construction*.

3. Nilsequences and almost nilsequences

Nilsequences were introduced in [2] for studying some problems in ergodic theory and additive combinatorics that arose from the multiple ergodic averages introduced by Furstenberg in his ergodic theoretic proof of Szemerédi's Theorem. Nilsequences are natural generalization of almost periodic sequences, and they are systematically studied by lots of mathematicians from then on. For more details about nilsequences, please refer to [2, 9, 10, 18] etc.

3.1. **Nilsequences.**

3.1.1. *Almost periodic sequences.*

Let $\mathbf{a} = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of complex numbers. We use $\sigma\mathbf{a}$ denote the shifted sequence. Thus for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sigma^k\mathbf{a} = \{a_{n+k}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. A bounded sequence $\mathbf{a} = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of complex numbers is called *almost periodic sequence* if there exit a compact abelian group G, elements x, τ of G, and a continuous function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $a_n = f(\tau^n x)$. It is well known that a bounded sequence $\mathbf{a}$ of complex numbers is almost periodic if and only if the family of translated sequences $\{\sigma^k\mathbf{a} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is relatively compact in $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ under the l^∞-norm.

The family of almost periodic sequences is a sub-algebra of $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ that is invariant under complex conjugation, shift and uniform limits. Denote the family of almost periodic sequences by $\mathcal{AP}$.

3.1.2. *Nilsystems.*

Let G be a group. For $g, h \in G$, we write $[g, h] = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$ for the commutator of g and h and we write $[A, B]$ for the subgroup spanned by $\{[a, b] : a \in A, b \in B\}$. The commutator subgroups G_j, $j \geq 1$, are defined inductively by setting $G_1 = G$ and $G_{j+1} = [G_j, G]$. Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer. We say that G is *d-step nilpotent* if G_{d+1} is the trivial subgroup.

Let G be a d-step nilpotent Lie group and Γ a discrete cocompact subgroup of G. The compact manifold $X = G/\Gamma$ is called a *d-step nilmanifold*. The group G acts on X by left translations and we write this action as $(g, x) \mapsto gx$. Let $\tau \in G$ and T be the transformation $x \mapsto \tau x$ of X. Then (X, T) is called a *d-step nilsystem*. It is easy to see that the product of two d-step nilsystems is still a d-step nilsystem.

Let Y be the closed orbit of some point $x \in X$ under T. Then Y can be given the structure of a nilmanifold, $Y = H/\Lambda$, where H is a closed subgroup of G containing τ and Λ is a closed cocompact subgroup of H. For more details please refer to [17], for example.

3.1.3. *Nilsequencs.*

Definition 3.1. [2] Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer and let $X = G/\Gamma$ be a d-step nilmanifold. Let ϕ be a continuous real (or complex) valued function on X and let $\tau \in G$ and $x \in X$. The sequence $\{\phi(\tau^n \cdot x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is called a *basic d-step nilsequence*. A *d-step nilsequence* is a uniform limit of basic d-step nilsequences.

We denote the set of basic d-step nilsequences by $\mathcal{N}_d^0$, and the set of d-step nilsequences by $\mathcal{N}_d$. Note that $\mathcal{N}_d$ is the closure of $\mathcal{N}_d^0$ in $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ under the l^∞-norm.

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{N}^0 = \bigcup_{d\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{N}_d^0$ be the set of all basic nilsequences, and let the closure of $\mathcal{N}^0$ by $\mathcal{N}$ in $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ under the l^∞-norm. The elements of $\mathcal{N}$ will be called *nilsequences*.

Remark 3.3. (1) Notice that a 1-step nilsequence is nothing but an almost periodic sequence.

(2) We cite the following sentences from [9] to explain why one needs to take uniform limits: "There is a technical difficulty that explains the need to take uniform limits of basic nilsequences, rather than just nilsequences. Namely, $\mathcal{AP}$ is closed under the uniform norm, while the family of basic nilsequences is not. An inverse limit of rotations on compact abelian Lie groups is also a rotation on a compact abelian group, but the same result does not hold for nilsystems: the inverse limit of a sequence of nilmanifolds is not, in general, the homogeneous space of some locally compact abelian group."

(3) In the definitions of nilmanifolds and nilsequences, one may require the nilpotent groups to be connected and simply connected as done in [7, 8]. For the reason why we can require these hypothesis, please see [7, 17].

Since the family of nilsystems is closed under Cartesian products, one can verify the following directly.

Proposition 3.4. [9] *For all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{N}_d^0$, $\mathcal{N}^0$, $\mathcal{N}_d$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are subalgebras of $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ that are invariant under complex conjugation and shift. And $\mathcal{N}_d$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are also invariant under uniform limits.*

3.1.4. *Characterizations of nilsequences.*

To get better understanding of the notion of nilsequences, we cite some characterizations of nilsequences in this subsection. *Note that we will not use these characterizations in the paper.*

It is well known that a sequence is almost periodic if and only if it is a uniform limit of linear combinations of exponential sequences. There is a similar characterization for 2-step nilsequences [9].

First we need define some special 2-step nilsequences. For $t \in \mathbb{T}$, a *quadratic exponential sequence* $q(t) = \{q_n(t)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by

$$q_n(t) = e\Big(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}t\Big),\ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $e(t) = \exp(2\pi it)$. For $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$, we set

$$\kappa(s,t) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \exp(-\pi(t+k)^2)e(ks),$$

and define the sequence arising from Heisenberg nilsystems $\omega(\alpha,\beta) = \{\omega_n(\alpha,\beta)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ as follows:

$$\omega_n(\alpha,\beta) = \kappa(n\alpha, n\beta)e\Big(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\alpha\beta\Big),\ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We write $\mathcal{M}$ for the family of sequences of the form

$$e(s)q(t)\omega(\alpha_1,\beta_1)\cdot\ldots\cdot\omega(\alpha_d,\beta_d)$$

where $s, t \in \mathbb{T}, d \geq 0$ is an integer and $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_d,\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_d$ are real numbers that are rationally independent modulo 1.

Theorem 3.5. [9, Theorem 3.11 and Theorem 3.12] *The space of 2-step nilsequences $\mathcal{N}_2$ is the closed shift invariant linear space spanned by the family $\mathcal{M}$.*

The linear span of the family $\mathcal{M}$ is dense in the space $\mathcal{N}_2$ under the quadratic norm, where the quadratic norm *of the nilsequences a is*

$$\|a\|_2 = \lim_{N\to\infty}\Big(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}|a_n|^2\Big)^{1/2}.$$

For d-step nilsequences ($d \geq 3$), it is unknown whether it has a characterization like Theorem 3.5. But one may characterize nilsequences in another way as follows.

For a sequence $\mathbf{a} = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of complex numbers, integers k, j, L, and a real number $\delta > 0$, if each entry in the interval $[k-L, k+L]$ is equal to the corresponding entry in the interval $[j-L, j+L]$ up to an error of δ, then we write

$$\mathbf{a}_{[k-L,k+L]} =_\delta \mathbf{a}_{[j-L,j+L]}.$$

The characterization of almost periodic sequences by compactness can be formulated as follows: The bounded sequence $\mathbf{a} = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is almost periodic if and only if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exist an integer $L \geq 1$ and a real $\delta > 0$ such that for any $k, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ whenever $\mathbf{a}_{[k-L,k+L]} =_\delta \mathbf{a}_{[k+n_1-L,k+n_1+L]}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{[k-L,k+L]} =_\delta \mathbf{a}_{[k+n_2-L,k+n_2+L]}$, then $|a_k - a_{k+n_1+n_2}| < \epsilon$. In general, Host and Kra have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.6. [10, Theorem 1.1] *Let $\mathbf{a} = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a bounded sequence of complex numbers and $d \geq 2$ be an integer. The sequence $\mathbf{a}$ is a $(d-1)$-step nilsequence if and only if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exist an integer $L \geq 1$ and a real number $\delta > 0$ such that for any $(n_1,\ldots,n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, whenever*

$$\mathbf{a}_{[k+\epsilon_1 n_1+\ldots+\epsilon_d n_d-L,k+\epsilon_1 n_1+\ldots+\epsilon_d n_d+L]} =_\delta \mathbf{a}_{[k-L,k+L]}$$

for all choices of $\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_d \in \{0,1\}$ other than $\epsilon_1 = \ldots = \epsilon_d = 1$, then we have $|a_k - a_{k+n_1+\ldots+n_d}| < \epsilon$.

3.2. **Almost nilsequences.**

3.2.1. *Zero-density-sequence.*

Definition 3.7. Let $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a bounded sequence of complex numbers. We say that $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a *zero-density-sequence* if it tends to zero in density, i.e.

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{2N+1}\sum_{n=-N}^{N}|a_n|=0.$$

Remark 3.8.

(1) It is well known that a bounded sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of complex numbers is zero-dense if and only if

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{2N+1}\sum_{n=-N}^{N}|a_n|^2=0$$

if and only if there is a subset J of $\mathbb{Z}$ with density 1 such that $\lim\limits_{J\ni n\to\infty}a_n=0$.

(2) Let $b=\{b_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a bounded sequence of complex numbers and $a=\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a zero-density-sequence. Then it is easy to see that $ab\doteq\{a_nb_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is also a zero-density-sequence.

(3) By (2), if a sequence ξ of complex numbers is linearly disjoint from Möbius function and a is a zero-density-sequence, then $\xi+a$ is still linearly disjoint from Möbius function.

3.2.2. *Almost nilsequences.*

Definition 3.9. Let $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a bounded sequence of complex numbers and $d\in\mathbb{N}$. We say that $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an *(d-step) almost nilsequence* if it is a sum of a (d-step) nilsequence and a zero-density-sequence.

We denote the family of d-step almost nilsequences (resp. almost nilsequences) by $\mathcal{AN}_d$ (resp. $\mathcal{AN}$).

Proposition 3.10. *Let $d\in\mathbb{N}$. The families $\mathcal{AN}_d$ and $\mathcal{AN}$ are subalgebras of $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ that are invariant under complex conjugation, shift and uniform limits.*

Proof. Since the proofs for $\mathcal{AN}_d$ and $\mathcal{AN}$ are similar. We show the case of $\mathcal{AN}$.

Let $a+\xi=\{a_n+\xi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}, b+\eta=\{b_n+\eta_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be two almost nilsequences such that $a=\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $b=\{b_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\in\mathcal{N}$ and $\xi=\{\xi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}},\eta=\{\eta_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are zero-density-sequences. Since a,b are bounded, $a\eta,b\xi$ are zero-density-sequences. Also note that $\xi+\eta$ is a zero-density-sequence. Thus $(a+\xi)(b+\eta)$ and $a+\xi+b+\eta$ are almost nilsequences. That is, $\mathcal{AN}$ is a algebra. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{AN}$ is invariant under complex conjugation and shift. It left to show that $\mathcal{AN}$ is closed.

Let $a^m+\xi^m\in\mathcal{AN}$ with $a^m\in\mathcal{N}$ and ξ^m is zero-dense for all $m\in\mathbb{N}$. Assume that the sequence $a^m+\xi^m$ converges to $b\in l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ when m goes to $+\infty$. We need show that $b\in\mathcal{AN}$.

To see this, we need the following fact: For all $a\in\mathcal{N}$ and all zero-density-sequence ξ, one has that $\|a+\xi\|_\infty\geq\|a\|_\infty$. (If not, there is some $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $|a_k|>\|a+\xi\|_\infty$. Let $c=\|a+\xi\|_\infty$. A well known fact is that nilsystems are distal systems (see [1, Ch 4. Theorem 3] and [17, Theorem 2.14]), and in particular every

point is a minimal point (see, for example, [6, Corollary on p. 160]). That means every point x of a nilsystem (X,T) returns to its neighborhood U relatively densely, which means $\{n\in\mathbb{Z}: T^nx\in U\}$ has a bounded gap. It follows that if $|a_k|>c$ for some m, then the set $\{n\in\mathbb{Z}:|a_n|>c\}$ has a bounded gap. In particular, $\{n\in\mathbb{Z}:|a_n|>c\}$ has a positive lower density. Then there is some n such that $|a_n+\xi_n|>c$, which means $\|a+\xi\|_\infty>c$, a contradiction!)

By the fact above one has that $\|a^m-a^\ell\|_\infty\le\|a^m+\xi^m-(a^\ell+\xi^\ell)\|_\infty$ for all $m,\ell\in\mathbb{N}$, and so the sequence $\{a^m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and it converges to some $a\in\mathcal{N}$. Then $\{\xi^m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ also converges, to some ξ. It is obvious that ξ is zero-dense. Hence $b=a+\xi\in\mathcal{AN}$. The proof is completed. □

3.3. **Furstenberg's Example: $\{e(p(n))\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a nilsequence.**

Now we give the classical example by Furstenberg [6] in this subsection to show $\{e(p(n))\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a nilsequence, where $e(t)=\exp(2\pi it)$ and $p(n)$ a real coefficient polynomial.

Let $p(n)=a_kn^k+\ldots+a_1n+a_0$. Viewing $\mathbb{T}^k$ as $\{\alpha\}\times\mathbb{T}^k$, we define $T:\mathbb{T}^k\to\mathbb{T}^k$ as follows:

$$T\mathbf{x}=\begin{pmatrix}1&&&&&\\1&1&&&&\\&1&1&&&\\&&&\ddots&&\\&&&&1&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\x_1\\x_2\\\vdots\\x_k\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\x_1+\alpha\\x_2+x_1\\\vdots\\x_k+x_{k-1}\end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$T^n\mathbf{x}=\begin{pmatrix}1&&&&&\\1&1&&&&\\&1&1&&&\\&&&\ddots&&\\&&&&1&1\end{pmatrix}^n\begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\x_1\\x_2\\\vdots\\x_k\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha\\n\alpha+x_1\\\binom{n}{2}\alpha+nx_1+x_2\\\vdots\\\binom{n}{k}\alpha+\binom{n}{k-1}x_1+\ldots+nx_{k-1}+x_k\end{pmatrix}$$

Now define $\alpha=k!a_k$ and choose points $x_1,\ldots,x_k$ so that

$$p(n)=\tbinom{n}{k}\alpha+\tbinom{n}{k-1}x_1+\ldots+nx_{k-1}+x_k.$$

Once one shows that $\mathbb{T}^k$ can be viewed as a nilrotation, then one has that $\{e(p(n))\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a nilsequence, where $e(t)=\exp(2\pi it)$.

Now we show that $(\mathbb{T}^k,T)$ is isomorphic to a nilrotation. Let G be the group of $(k+2)\times(k+2)$ lower-triangular matrices with unit diagonal

$$\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&\ldots&0&0\\a_{2,1}&1&0&\ldots&0&0\\a_{3,1}&a_{3,2}&1&\ldots&0&0\\\vdots&\vdots&\ddots&\ldots&\vdots&\vdots\\a_{k+1,1}&a_{k+1,2}&a_{k+1,3}&\ldots&1&0\\a_{k+2,1}&a_{k+2,2}&a_{k+2,3}&\ldots&a_{k+2,k+1}&1\end{pmatrix}$$

with $a_{i,j}\in\mathbb{Z}$ for $2\le j<i\le k+2$ and $a_{i,1}\in\mathbb{R}$ for $i\ge2$. Let Γ be the subgroup of G consisting of the matrices with integer entries. Then G is a nilpotent Lie group and $G/\Gamma\simeq\mathbb{T}^{k+1}$ via

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ a_{2,1} & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ a_{3,1} & a_{3,2} & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{k+1,1} & a_{k+1,2} & a_{k+1,3} & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ a_{k+2,1} & a_{k+2,2} & a_{k+2,3} & \dots & a_{k+2,k+1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \Gamma \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_{2,1} \\ a_{3,1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{k+1,1} \\ a_{k+2,1} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$$

Let $T_g : G/\Gamma \to G/\Gamma, x \mapsto gx$, where

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & 1 & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G.$$

Let

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & a_{3,2} & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{k-1} & a_{k+1,2} & a_{k+1,3} & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ x_k & a_{k+2,2} & a_{k+2,3} & \dots & a_{k+2,k+1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \Gamma \sim \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}.$$

Then

$$T_g\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha+1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ x_1+\alpha & a_{3,2}+1 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{k-1}+x_{k-2} & a_{k+1,2}+a_{k,2} & a_{k+1,3}+a_{k,3} & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ x_k+x_{k-1} & a_{k+2,2}+a_{k+1,2} & a_{k+2,3}+a_{k+1,3} & \dots & a_{k+2,k+1}+1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \Gamma$$

$$\sim \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ x_1+\alpha \\ x_2+x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_k+x_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} \in \{\alpha\} \times \mathbb{T}^k \subseteq \mathbb{T}^{k+1}.$$

Let $X = \overline{\{T_g^n \mathrm{x} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}$. Then (X, T_g) is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{T}^k, T)$.

4. Toral automorphisms with zero entropy

To understand the noncommutative toral automorphisms better, in this section we study the toral automorphisms with zero entropy and try to explain some main ideas here.

For the toral automorphisms with zero entropy, it is showed that the sequence realized in this kind of systems is a nilsequence. We will give two approachs. One is in a pure dynamical way, and the other is from C^*-algebra viewpoint. The main result of the section is contained in the study of noncommutative automorphisms. But here it is easier to understand.

4.1. **Dynamical version.**

Let $\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ be a d-dimensional torus. Let $T : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{T}^d$ be $Tx = Ax$, where A is an automorphism of $\mathbb{T}^d$. The automorphism A can lift to a linear automorphism $\tilde{A}$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ which preserve $\mathbb{Z}^d$, so that $\tilde{A} \in GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$. For convenience, we still denote $\tilde{A}$ by A.

Let $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$. We want to study the sequence $\{f(T^n x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Since the linear combinations of characters $\phi \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^d}$, the dual group of $\mathbb{T}^d$, are dense in $C(\mathbb{T}^d)$, it suffices to study the sequence $\{\phi(T^n x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

The entropy of a toral automorphism is given by the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. [25] *Let $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d\}$ be the eigenvalues of A. Then the entropy of T is:*

$$h_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{T}^d, T) = h_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{T}^d, A) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \ln^+ |\lambda_j|,$$

where $\ln^+(x) = \max\{\ln x, 0\}$.

Now assume the entropy of T is zero. By Theorem 4.1, $\Pi_{j=1}^d \lambda_j$ is a nonzero integer with $|\lambda_j| \le 1$ for all $1 \le j \le d$, which means $|\lambda_j| = 1$. According to the following Kronecker's Lemma, we have all the λ_j are unity roots.

Theorem 4.2 (Kronecker's Lemma)**.** [14] *Let $p(x) = \prod_{j=1}^d (x - \lambda_j) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a monic polynomial with integer coefficients. If $|\lambda_j| \le 1$ for all j with $1 \le j \le d$, then there is some $k \ge 1$ for which $\lambda_j^k = 1$ for all $1 \le j \le d$.*

Therefore $A \in GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$ is a matrix whose eigenvalues are roots of unity. Thus there are some matrices B and P such that $A = P^{-1}BP$, where B is an upper triangular matrix, and all the entries in the diagonal of B are roots of unity.

Let $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$. We have

$$T^n x = A^n x = P^{-1} B^n P x.$$

Since B is an upper triangular matrix and all the diagonal elements of B are roots of unity, there is some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that B^m is an upper triangular matrix with all the diagonal elements being 1. Let $C = B^m$, and write n as $n = tm + r$, $0 \le r \le m - 1$. Then we have

$$T^n x = P^{-1} C^t B^r P x.$$

Let $C = I + D$, where D is strictly upper triangular matrix and hence $D^d = 0$. Then for $t \ge d$, one has

$$C^t = (I + D)^t = \sum_{j=0}^{t} \tbinom{t}{j} D^j = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \tbinom{t}{j} D^j = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{t!}{j!(t-j)!} D^j,$$

which means each element of C^t is a polynomial in t. Notice that, for a fixed r, each element of $A^{tm+r} = P^{-1}C^t B^r P$ is a real coefficient polynomial in t. That means that each coordinate of $T^{tm+r}x$ is a real coefficient polynomial in t, i.e.

$$T^n x = T^{tm+r} x = (f_{r1}(t), f_{r2}(t), \ldots, f_{rd}(t))',$$

where $f_{rj}(t)$ is real coefficient polynomial in t.

The character $\phi \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^d}$ has the form $\phi : \mathbb{T}^d \to S^1, x \mapsto e(\langle v, x\rangle)$ for some $v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $\langle v, x\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j v_j$ is the inner product. Hence

$$\phi(T^n x) = \phi(T^{tm+r}x) = e(\sum_{j=1}^d v_j f_{rj}(t)).$$

For each r with $0 \le r \le m-1$, $\{e(\sum_{j=1}^d v_j f_{rj}(t))\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a nilsequence since $\sum_{j=1}^d v_j f_{rj}(t)$ is an integral polynomial in t. Then it follows from the following lemma that $\{\phi(T^n x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a nilsequence.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\xi \in l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$, let $\eta_r(t) = \xi(tm + r), \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

1. *If the sequence $\eta_r \in l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ is a (basic) nilsequence for each $r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$, then ξ is also a (basic) nilsequence.*
2. *If the sequence $\eta_r \in l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ is an almost nilsequence for each $r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$, then ξ is also an almost nilsequence.*

Proof. First we assume that $\eta_r(t)$ is a basic d_r-step nilsequence for each $0 \le r \le m-1$. Then for each $0 \le r \le m-1$ there is some nilmanifold $X_r = G_r/\Gamma_r$, $\tau_r \in G_r$ and a continuous map f_r such that $\eta_r(t) = f_r(\tau_r^t x_r)$ for some $x_r \in G_r/\Gamma_r$. If, for some r, G_r is not connected, after replacing X_r by a larger nilmanifold and extending f_r to a continuous function on this nilmafold one may assume that every G_r is connected and simply-connected [17]. In this case for each $r \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$, τ_r has a m-th root α_r (let $\alpha_r = \exp(\frac{1}{m}\log \tau_r)$ then $\alpha_r^m = \tau_r$). Then $\{b_{n,r}\}_n := \{f_r(\alpha_r^{n-r}x_r))\}_n$ is still a basic d_r-step nilsequence, which satisfies $b_{tm+r,r} = \eta_r(t)$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_m = \{\overline{0}, \ldots, \overline{m-1}\}$ and define $S : \mathbb{Z}_m \to \mathbb{Z}_m$ by $S(\overline{j}) = \overline{j+1}$. And for each $r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ let $(G_r/\Gamma_r, T_r)$ be defined as $T_r(x) = \alpha_r x$. Now we consider the product system

$$(X, T) = (\prod_{r=0}^{m-1} G_r/\Gamma_r \times \mathbb{Z}_m, \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} T_r \times S).$$

Obviously (X, T) is a nilsystem.

Define $g : \mathbb{Z}_m \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $g(\overline{0}) = 1$, $g(\overline{j}) = 0$ for $\overline{j} \ne \overline{0}$. And define a continuous function $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ as follows

$$f(y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{m-1}, \overline{j}) = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} g(\overline{j-r}) f_r(\alpha_r^{-r} y_r).$$

Thus $\xi(n) = f(T^n \vec{x})$, where $\vec{x} = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1}, \overline{0})$, i.e. $\xi(n)$ is a basic nilsequence. It is easy to see that $\eta_r(t) = \xi(tm + r), \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each $r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$.

Now let $\eta_r = \{\eta_r(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be nilsequences for each $r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$. Then there are some basic nilsequence $\{\eta_{r,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\eta_{r,k} \to \eta_r, k \to \infty$ in $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\xi_k \in l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ be defined as $\xi_k(tm + r) = \eta_{r,l}(t), \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each $r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$. Then as showed above, ξ_k is a basic nilsequence for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let ξ be the limit of $\{\xi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$. Then ξ is a nilsequence and $\eta_r(t) = \xi(tm + r), \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each $r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$.

The proof for the case of almost nilsequences is similar. Here we need notice the fact that if $\{\zeta(tm+r)\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$ has zero-density for each $0\le r\le m-1$, then $\{\zeta(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ itself has zero density. □

4.2. **C^*-algebra version.**

Let $(\mathbb{T}^d,T)$ be a toral automorphism with zero entropy as above. Then it induces an automorphism: $\hat{T}:C(\mathbb{T}^d)\to C(\mathbb{T}^d), u\mapsto u\circ T=u\circ A$. Let ρ be a state on $C(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $u\in C(\mathbb{T}^d)$. We want to study the sequence $\{\rho(\hat{T}^n u)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Note that, unlike last subsection, we can not prove that the sequence $\{\rho(\hat{T}^n u)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a nilsequence, but we can show that it is an almost nilsequence.

Let

$$u_j(x)=e^{2\pi i x_j},$$

where $x=(x_1,\dots,x_d)'\in\mathbb{T}^d$. By Fourier expansion, we have that $u=\sum_{\vec{r}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}a_{\vec{r}}u_1^{r_1}\dots u_d^{r_d}$. For each $\epsilon>0$, there is a finite subset $F\subset\mathbb{Z}^d$ such that

$$\|u-\sum_{\vec{r}\in F}a_{\vec{r}}u_1^{r_1}\dots u_d^{r_d}\|_\infty<\epsilon.$$

Together with $\|\rho\|=1$ and the fact $\mathcal{AN}$ being an algebra, one has that to show $\{\rho(\hat{T}^n u)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\in\mathcal{AN}$, it suffices to show the sequence $\{\rho(\hat{T}^n u_1^{r_1}\dots u_d^{r_d})\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is in $\mathcal{AN}$.

It is easy to see that $\hat{T}$ is determined by the matrix $A=(a_{jk})_{1\le j,k\le d}\in GL(d,\mathbb{Z})$:

$$\hat{T}(u_j)=u_1^{a_{j1}}\dots u_d^{a_{jd}}.$$

Since the entropy of $(\mathbb{T}^d,T)$ is zero if and only if the VB entropy of $\hat{T}$ is zero [13]. By the analysis in the last subsection, there is some $m\in\mathbb{N}$ such that for each $0\le r\le m-1$, $A^{tm+r}=\{q_{jkr}(t)\}_{j,k}$, where each $q_{jkr}(t)$ is an integral polynomial. Hence we have for each $v=u_1^{r_1}\dots u_d^{r_d}$ with $r_j\in\mathbb{Z}$ and a fixed $r\in\{0,1,\dots,m-1\}$,

$$\hat{T}^n v=\hat{T}^{tm+r}(v)=u_1^{p_{1r}(t)}\dots u_d^{p_{dr}(t)},$$

where $p_{1r},\dots,p_{dr}$ are integral polynomials.

By GNS construction, there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and a representation π of $C(X)$ and a vector $w\in\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\rho(\hat{T}^n v)=\langle\pi(\hat{T}^n v)w,w\rangle.$$

Let $U_j=\pi(u_j)$ for each j. Then one has that

$$\rho(\hat{T}^n v)=\langle\pi(\hat{T}^n v)w,w\rangle=\langle\pi(\hat{T}^{tm+r}v)w,w\rangle=\langle U_1^{p_{1r}(t)}\dots U_d^{p_{dr}(t)}w,w\rangle.$$

Then by Lemma 4.3 and the following theorem, one has that the sequence $\{\rho(\hat{T}^n v)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an almost nilsequence.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. Let $U_1,\dots,U_d\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be commutative unitary operators and $p_1(n),\dots,p_d(n)$ be integral polynomials. Then for each $u,v\in\mathcal{H}$ the sequence $a_n=\langle U_1^{p_1(n)}\dots U_d^{p_d(n)}u,v\rangle$ is a sum of a nilsequence and a zero-density-sequence, i.e. an almost nilsequence.*

Note that Theorem 4.4 is a special case of Theorem B. We will give an independent proof in Section 6.

5. Noncommutative toral automorphisms with zero Voiculescu-Brown entropy

In this section we study noncommutative toral automorphisms with zero Voiculescu-Brown entropy. First we review some basic facts about noncommutative tori, then we prove Theorem A assuming Theorem B. Please refer to [21, 26] for more details on noncommutative tori.

5.1. **Noncommutative tori.**

There are several equivalent versions to define a noncommutative torus. For example, as mentioned in the introduction, let $\Theta = (\theta_{jk})_{1\leq j,k\leq d}$ be a real skew-symmetric $d \times d$ matrix, and the *noncommutative d-torus* A_Θ is defined as the universal C^*-algebra generated by unitaries $u_1, \ldots, u_d$ subject to the relations

$$u_j u_k = e^{2\pi i\theta_{jk}} u_k u_j \tag{5.1}$$

for all $1 \leq j, k \leq d$.

A noncommutative torus A_Θ can also be seen as a subset of $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with a product $*_1$, where $\Theta = (\theta_{jk})_{1\leq j,k\leq d}$ is a real skew-symmetric $d\times d$ matrix as above. Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ be the space of complex-valued Schwartz functions on $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Then the product of A_Θ is induced by the product $*_1$ of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. To be precise, let $\mathcal{F} : C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ be the Fourier transform. Then for $u, v \in A_\Theta$, $u *_1 v$ is defined by

$$u *_1 v = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(u) *_1 \mathcal{F}(v)),$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}(u) *_1 \mathcal{F}(v)(\vec{p}) = -4\pi^2 \sum_{\vec{q}} \mathcal{F}(u)(\vec{q})\mathcal{F}(v)(\vec{p}-\vec{q})\exp(-\pi i\gamma(\vec{q}, \vec{p}-\vec{q})),$$

where $\vec{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_d)$, $\vec{q} = (q_1, \ldots, q_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and $\gamma(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\leq j,k\leq d} \theta_{jk}p_j q_k$. Let

$$u_j(t) = e(t_j) = \exp(2\pi i t_j),\ t = (t_1, \ldots, t_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d.$$

Then $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d$ generate A_Θ.

The *noncommutative torus algebra* $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{A}_\Theta = \Big\{ a = \sum_{\vec{r}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} a_{\vec{r}} u_1^{r_1} \ldots u_d^{r_d} :\ \{a_{\vec{r}}\}_{\vec{r}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \Big\}$$

where $\vec{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_d)$. Recall that $\{a_{\vec{r}}\}_{\vec{r}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ means that

$$p_k(a)^2 = \sup_{\vec{r}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} (1 + r_1^2 + \ldots + r_d^2)^k |a_{\vec{r}}| < \infty$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. And the topology of $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ is given by the seminorms $\{p_k\}_k$.

The restriction of the faithful normalized trace is the linear functional $\tau : \mathcal{A}_\Theta \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\tau(a) := a_{\vec{0}}.$$

The GNS representation space

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(\mathcal{A}_\Theta, \tau) = \{ \sum_{\vec{r}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} a_{\vec{r}} u_1^{r_1} \ldots u_d^{r_d} :\ \sum_{\vec{r}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |a_{\vec{r}}|^2 < \infty \}$$

of A_Θ may be described as the completion of the vector space $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ in the Hilbert norm

$$\|a\|_H := \tau(a^*a)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since τ is faithful, the GNS representation of $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ is given by

$$\pi_0(a) : b \to \underline{ab},$$

where $\underline{a}$ is the corresponding element in $\mathcal{H}_0$ from $a \in \mathcal{A}_\Theta$. The closure of $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ under operator norm is a C^*-algebra.

5.2. **Noncommutative toral automorphisms.**

For any matrix $S = (s_{j,k})_{1\le j,k\le d}$ in $GL(d,\mathbb{Z})$, there is an isomorphism $\alpha_\Theta : A_{S^T\Theta S} \to A_\Theta$ determined by

$$\alpha_\Theta(u_j) = u_1^{s_{1j}} u_2^{s_{2j}} \dots u_d^{s_{dj}} \tag{5.2}$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, d$. Thus when $S'\Theta S \equiv \Theta$ (mod $M_d(\mathbb{Z})$) we obtain an automorphism of A_Θ. Please refer to [13] for more details and references.

One of main results in [13] is the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. [13, Theorem 2.7.] *Let α_Θ be any non-commutative toral automorphism. Then*

$$ht(\alpha_\Theta) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\lambda_i|>1} \log|\lambda_i|$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ are the eigenvalues of S counted with multiplicity.

5.3. **Proof of Theorem A.**

Now we assume Theorem B holds and prove Theorem A. Assume that $ht(\alpha_\Theta)$ is zero. Let $u \in A_\Theta$ and ρ be a state on A_Θ. We will study the sequence $\{\rho(\alpha_\Theta^n u)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, and show that it is an almost nilsequence. Now for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a finite set $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$

$$\|u - \sum_{\vec{r}\in F} a_{\vec{r}} u_1^{r_1} \dots u_d^{r_d}\|_\Theta < \epsilon,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\Theta$ is the operator norm of $B(\mathcal{H}_0)$.

Combing this with the facts that $\|\rho\| = 1$, α_Θ is an isometric operator, and almost nilsequences are closed under linear combination. we have that to show that the sequence $\{\rho(\alpha_\Theta^n u)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an almost nilsequence, it is suffices to show that the sequence $\{\rho(\alpha_\Theta^n(u_1^{r_1} \dots u_d^{r_d}))\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for each fixed $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is an almost nilsequence.

Now $\vec{r} = (r_1, \cdots, r_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is fixed. Since $ht(\alpha_\Theta)$ is zero, then by Theorem 5.1 each eigenvalues λ_i of S satisfies $|\lambda_i| \le 1$. By Theorem 4.2, it is easy to see that all λ_i are roots of unity. Let $S = P^{-1}JP$, where J is the Jordan canonical form of S. Then there is a positive integer m such that each eigenvalue of S^m is 1. Thus J^m is an upper triangular matrix with all diagonal elements are 1. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, write n as $n = tm + r$, $0 \le r \le m-1$. Hence all elements in J^{tm+r} are polynomials in t for each $0 \le r \le m-1$. Note that $S^{tm+r} = P^{-1}J^{tm+r}P$ and all elements of S are integer, then all elements in S^{tm+r} are integral polynomials in t for each $0 \le r \le m-1$.

For $0 \le r \le m-1$, let

$$S^n \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_d \end{pmatrix} = S^{tm+r} \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{1r}(t) \\ p_{2r}(t) \\ \vdots \\ p_{dr}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $p_{1r}(t), p_{2r}(t), \cdots, p_{dr}(t)$ are integral polynomials.

By (5.1)(5.2) inductively, we claim that there is a real coefficient polynomial $p_{0r}(t)$ in t such that

$$\alpha_\Theta^{tm+r}(u_1^{r_1} \dots u_d^{r_d}) = e(p_{0r}(t)) u_1^{p_{1r}(t)} \dots u_d^{p_{dr}(t)}, \tag{5.3}$$

Proof of Claim. By (5.1)(5.2) inductively, there exist $q_0(n) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\alpha_\Theta^n(u_1^{r_1} \dots u_d^{r_d}) = e(q_0(n)) u_1^{q_1(n)} \dots u_d^{q_d(n)} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $q_j(tm+r) = p_{jr}(t)$ for $0 \le r \le m-1$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, d$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\Theta^n(u_1^{r_1} \dots u_d^{r_d}) &= e(q_0(n)) u_1^{q_1(n)} \dots u_d^{q_d(n)} \\ &= \alpha_\Theta(\alpha_\Theta^{n-1}(u_1^{r_1} \dots u_d^{r_d})) \\ &= e(q_0(n-1)) \alpha_\Theta(u_1^{q_1(n-1)} \dots u_d^{q_d(n-1)}) \\ &= e(q_0(n-1))(u_1^{s_{11}} \dots u_d^{s_{d1}})^{q_1(n-1)} \dots (u_1^{s_{1d}} \dots u_d^{s_{dd}})^{q_d(n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$u_1^{x_1} \dots u_d^{x_d} u_1^{y_1} \dots u_d^{y_d} = e\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{j=k+1}^{d} x_j y_k \theta_{jk} \Big) u_1^{x_1+y_1} \dots u_d^{x_d+y_d},$$

one has that

$$\begin{aligned} &(u_1^{s_{1j}} \dots u_d^{s_{dj}})^{q_j(n-1)} \\ &= e\Big(\frac{\big(q_j(n-1)-1\big) q_j(n-1)}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{d} \sum_{b=a+1}^{d} s_{aj} s_{bj} \theta_{ba} \Big) u_1^{s_{1j} q_j(n-1)} \dots u_d^{s_{dj} q_j(n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_0(n) &= q_0(n-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{(q_j(n-1)-1) q_j(n-1)}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{d} \sum_{b=a}^{d} s_{aj} s_{bj} \theta_{ba} \\ &\quad + \sum_{c=1}^{d-1} \Big(\sum_{a=1}^{d} \sum_{b=a+1}^{d} \Big(\sum_{e=1}^{c} s_{be} q_e(n-1) \Big) s_{a(c+1)} q_{c+1}(n-1) \theta_{ba} \Big) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \\ &= q_0(n-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_j q_j(n-1) + \sum_{1 \le j \le k \le d} \lambda_{j,k} q_i(n-1) q_j(n-1) \end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda_j, \lambda_{j,k} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let $S(n)=\sum_{j=1}^{d}\lambda_j q_j(n-1)+\sum_{1\le j\le k\le d}\lambda_{j,k}q_i(n-1)q_j(n-1)$. Then for each $0\le r\le m-1$, $S(tm+r)$ is a real coefficient polynomial on t. For $0\le r\le m-1$, let $p_{0r}(0)=q_0(r)$ and define $p_{0r}(t)$ inductively such that

$$p_{0r}(t)=p_{0r}(t-1)+\sum_{l=1}^{m}S(tm+r-l)$$

for $t\in\mathbb{Z}$. Since $\sum_{l=1}^{m}S(tm+r-l)$ is a real coefficient polynomial on t, we have that $p_{0r}(t)$ is real coefficient polynomials for each $r\in\{0,\dots,m-1\}$. Note that $p_{0r}(0)=q_0(r)$ and

$$q_0(tm+r)=q_0((t-1)m+r)+\sum_{l=1}^{m}S(tm+r-l)\,(\mathrm{mod}\mathbb{Z})$$

for $t\in\mathbb{Z}$, one has $p_{0r}(t)=q_0(tm+r)\ (\mathrm{mod}\mathbb{Z})$ for $t\in\mathbb{Z}$, $0\le r\le m-1$. Hence (5.3) holds. This finishes the proof of Claim. □

According to Theorem 2.4, there are a cyclic representation π_ρ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\rho$ and a cyclic vector $w\in\mathcal{H}_\rho$ such that

$$\rho(\alpha_\Theta^n(u_1^{r_1}\dots u_d^{r_d}))=\langle\pi_\rho(\alpha_\Theta^n(u_1^{r_1}\dots u_d^{r_d})w,w\rangle.$$

Let $U_i=\pi_\rho(u_i)$ for $1\le i\le d$. Then $U_1,\cdots,U_d$ are unitary operators on $\mathcal{H}_\rho$ and

$$U_jU_k=e^{2\pi i\theta_{jk}}U_kU_j \tag{5.4}$$

for $1\le i,j\le d$, since $u_1,\cdots,u_d$ are unitaries and satisfy (5.1).

By (5.3) for each $r\in\{0,\dots,m-1\}$, $\eta_r(t):=\rho\big(\alpha_\Theta^{tm+r}(u_1^{r_1}\dots u_d^{r_d})\big)$ has the form

$$\eta_r(t)=e(p_{0r}(t))\langle U_1^{p_{1r}(t)}\dots U_d^{p_{dr}(t)}w,w\rangle.$$

From (5.4), we have that the group of unitary operators generated by $U_1,\dots,U_d$ is 2-step nilpotent. Then by Theorem B, $\{\langle U_1^{p_{1r}(t)}\dots U_d^{p_{dr}(t)}w,w\rangle\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an almost nilsequence.

Since $p_{0r}(t)$ is a real coefficient polynomial, $\{e(p_{0r}(t))\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a nilsequence and so the sequence $\{\eta_r(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an almost nilsequence by Proposition 3.10. Thus by Lemma 4.3, we have that $\{\rho(\alpha_\Theta^n(u_1^{r_1}\dots u_d^{r_d}))\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an almost nilsequence. This finishes the proof of Theorem A.

6. Commutative case: Proof of Theorem 4.4

Theorem 4.4 is a special case of Theorem B. Since for commutative case there are more tools, we give a direct proof, which is different from the one in the next section.

We need the following Weyl's Theorem.

Theorem 6.1 (Weyl)**.** [27] *Let $p(n)=a_kn^k+\dots+a_1n+a_0$ be a real polynomial with at leat one coefficient among $a_1,\dots,a_k$ irrational. Then the sequence $\{p(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is equidistributed modulo* 1.

Note that the sequence $\{p(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is equidistributed modulo 1 if and only if for any $k \neq 0$,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} e(kp(n)) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \exp(2\pi i k p(n)) = 0. \tag{6.1}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.4. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. Let $U_1, \ldots, U_d \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be commutative unitary operators and $p_1(n), \ldots, p_d(n)$ be integral polynomials. We want to prove that for each $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ the sequence $\langle U_1^{p_1(n)} \ldots U_d^{p_d(n)} u, v\rangle$ is an almost nilsequence. First we may assume that $p_1(0) = \ldots = p_d(0) = 0$ (if necessary we replace $g(n)$ and u by $g(n)(g(0))^{-1}$ and $g(0)u$ respectively, where $g(n) = U_1^{p_1(n)} \ldots U_d^{p_d(n)}$). By the polarization identity (i.e. $\langle g(n)u, v\rangle = \frac{1}{4}(\langle g(n)(u+v), u+v\rangle - \langle g(n)(u-v), u-v\rangle + i\langle g(n)(u+iv), u+iv\rangle - i\langle g(n)(u-iv), u-iv\rangle)$), it suffices to show that for each $u \in \mathcal{H}$ the sequence $a_n = \langle U_1^{p_1(n)} \ldots U_d^{p_d(n)} u, u\rangle$ is a sum of a nilsequence and a zero-density-sequence.

Let $\phi(\vec{n}) = \langle U_1^{n_1} \ldots U_d^{n_d} u, u\rangle$ for $\vec{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Since $U_1, \ldots, U_d$ are commutative, it is easy to verify that ϕ is a normalized continuous positive definite function on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Then by Bochner's Theorem there is a probability measure μ on $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^d} = \mathbb{T}^d$ such that

$$\phi(\vec{n}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e(\langle \xi, \vec{n}\rangle) d\mu(\xi), \tag{6.2}$$

where $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d)$, $\vec{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_d)$ and $\langle \xi, \vec{n}\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \xi_j n_j$.

Hence we have

$$a_n = \langle U_1^{p_1(n)} \ldots U_d^{p_d(n)} u, u\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e(\sum_{j=1}^{d} \xi_j p_j(n)) d\mu(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e(f_\xi(n)) d\mu(\xi), \tag{6.3}$$

where $f_\xi(n) := \sum_{j=1}^{d} \xi_j p_j(n)$ is a polynomial in n for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Notice that one has that

$$f_\xi(n) + f_\gamma(n) = f_{\xi+\gamma}(n)$$

for $\xi, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Since all coefficients in $p_1(n), \ldots, p_d(n)$ are rational, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\overrightarrow{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, the followings hold that

- one of coefficients of $f_\xi(n)$ is irrational if and only if one of coefficients of $f_{\xi+\overrightarrow{k}}(n)$.
- all coefficients of $f_\xi(n)$ are rational if and only if all coefficients of $f_{\xi+\overrightarrow{k}}(n)$ are rational.

First we explain the idea of proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}|a_n|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e(f_\xi(n))d\mu(\xi)\overline{\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e(f_\gamma(n))d\mu(\gamma)}\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e((f_\xi(n)-f_\gamma(n))d\mu(\xi)d\mu(\gamma)\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e(f_{\xi-\gamma}(n))d\mu(\xi)d\mu(\gamma).\end{aligned}$$

By Weyl's Theorem, if one of coefficients of $f_{\xi-\gamma}(n)$ is irrational, then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{2N+1}\sum_{n=-N}^{N} e(f_{\xi-\gamma}(n))=0.$$

Let

$$W_1=\{(\xi,\gamma)\in\mathbb{T}^d\times\mathbb{T}^d : \text{one of coefficients of } f_{\xi-\gamma}(n) \text{ is irrational}\},$$

and

$$W_2=\{(\xi,\gamma)\in\mathbb{T}^d\times\mathbb{T}^d : \text{all coefficients of } f_{\xi-\gamma}(n) \text{ are rational}\}.$$

Then for each $(\xi,\gamma)\in W_1$, one has that $\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{2N+1}\sum_{n=-N}^{N} e(f_{\xi-\gamma}(n))=0$. This part will correspond to the zero-density-sequence part of a_n. And we will show that nilsequence part of a_n depends on W_2.

Now we give the details. First it is easy to verify that W_2 is measurable.

Case I: $\mu\times\mu(W_2)=0$.

In this case, by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{2N+1}\sum_{n=-N}^{N}|a_n|^2 &= \lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{2N+1}\sum_{n=-N}^{N}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e(f_{\xi-\gamma}(n))d\mu(\xi)d\mu(\gamma)\\ &= \lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{2N+1}\sum_{n=-N}^{N}\int_{W_1} e(f_{\xi-\gamma}(n))d\mu\times\mu(\xi,\gamma)=0\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{a_n\}_n$ is a zero-density-sequence.

Case II: $\mu\times\mu(W_2)>0$.

Recall that $f_\xi(n)=\sum_{j=1}^{d}\xi_j p_j(n)$, where $p_1(n),\dots,p_d(n)$ are fixed integral polynomials. Since $p_1(n),\dots,p_d(n)$ are fixed, the set

$$\mathcal{F}=\{f_\xi(n):\ \text{all coefficients of } f_\xi(n) \text{ are rational }\}$$

is a countable set. Set $\mathcal{F}=\{g_k\}_{k\in I}$ with $g_k\neq g_m$ when $k\neq m\in I$, where I is a countable index set.

Let

$$A=\{\xi\in\mathbb{T}^d : f_\xi(n)\in\mathcal{F}\}.$$

Since $A=\bigcup_{k\in I}\{\xi\in\mathbb{T}^d : f_\xi=g_k\}$, it is easy to check that A is a measurable set.

Define a relation: $\xi \sim \gamma$ if and only if $\xi - \gamma \in A$. Since $f_\xi(n) + f_\gamma(n) = f_{\xi+\gamma}(n)$, it is easy to verify that " $\sim$" is an equivalence relation, and denote the equivalence class of ξ by $[\xi] = \xi + A$. Then

$$W_2 = \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathbb{T}^d} [\xi] \times [\xi].$$

We claim that there is an at most countable set $\{\xi_m\}_m$ such that $\mu([\xi_m]) > 0$ for all m and

$$W_2 =_{\mu\times\mu} \bigcup_m [\xi_m] \times [\xi_m],$$

where $K =_\nu H$ means $\nu(K \Delta H) = 0$. In fact, by Funini's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu \times \mu(W_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \chi_{W_2}(x,y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \chi_{W_2}(x,y) d\mu(x) \Big) d\mu(y) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \chi_{\bigcup_{\xi\in\mathbb{T}^d}[\xi]\times[\xi]}(x,y) d\mu(x) \Big) d\mu(y) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \chi_{[y]\times[y]}(x,y) d\mu(x) \Big) d\mu(y) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mu([y]) d\mu(y)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Since there is only at most countable set $\{\xi_m\}_m$ such that $\mu([\xi_m]) > 0$ for all m, by equation (6.4) one has that

$$\mu \times \mu(W_2) = \sum_m \big(\mu([\xi_m])\big)^2 = \mu \times \mu(\bigcup_m [\xi_m] \times [\xi_m]).$$

Hence we get what we need.

Now let

$$B = \bigcup_m [\xi_m] = \bigcup_m (A + \xi_m) \subseteq \mathbb{T}^d. \tag{6.5}$$

Let $\mu_B = \mu|_B = \frac{\mu(\,\cdot\, \cap B)}{\mu(B)}$ and $\mu_{B^C} = \mu|_{X\setminus B} = \frac{\mu(\,\cdot\, \cap (X\setminus B))}{\mu(X\setminus B)}$. Then we have a decomposition of μ

$$\mu = p\mu_B + (1-p)\mu_{B^C},$$

where $p = \mu(B) \in (0,1]$. Note that when $p = \mu(B) = 1$, $\mu = \mu_B$ and μ_{B^C} will not be considered.

Then

$$a_n = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e(f_\xi(n)) d\mu(\xi) = p \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e(f_\xi(n)) d\mu_B(\xi) + (1-p) \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e(f_\xi(n)) d\mu_{B^C}(\xi), \tag{6.6}$$

Set

$$b_n = p \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e(f_\xi(n)) d\mu_B(\xi), \quad c_n = (1-p) \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e(f_\xi(n)) d\mu_{B^C}(\xi). \tag{6.7}$$

To end the proof, we will show that $\{b_n\}_n$ is a nilsequence and $\{c_n\}_n$ is a zero-density-sequence.

By definition, $\mu_{B^C}\times\mu_{B^C}(W_2)=\mu_{B^C}\times\mu_{B^C}(\bigcup_n[\xi_n]\times[\xi_n])=0$. For each $(\xi,\gamma)\in W_1$, one has that $\lim\limits_{N\to\infty}\dfrac{1}{2N+1}\sum\limits_{n=-N}^{N}e(f_{\xi-\gamma}(n))=0$. Hence by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{(1-p)^2}\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{2N+1}\sum_{n=-N}^{N}|c_n|^2\\ =&\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{2N+1}\sum_{n=-N}^{N}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d}e(f_{\xi-\gamma}(n))d\mu_{B^C}(\xi)d\mu_{B^C}(\gamma)\\ =&\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{2N+1}\sum_{n=-N}^{N}\int_{W_1}e(f_{\xi-\gamma}(n))d\mu_{B^C}\times\mu_{B^C}(\xi,\gamma)=0.\end{aligned}$$

That is, $\{c_n\}_n$ is a zero-density-sequence.

As to b_n, one has that

$$\begin{aligned}b_n&=p\int_{\mathbb{T}^d}e(f_\xi(n))d\mu_B(\xi)=\int_B e(f_\xi(n))d\mu(\xi)\\ &=\int_{\bigcup_m(A+\xi_m)}e(f_\xi(n))d\mu(\xi)=\sum_m\int_{A+\xi_m}e(f_\xi(n))d\mu(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $A=\bigcup_{k\in I}\{\xi\in\mathbb{T}^d:f_\xi=g_k\}$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}b_n&=\sum_m\int_{A+\xi_m}e(f_\xi(n))d\mu(\xi)\\ &=\sum_m\sum_k\int_{\{\xi\in\mathbb{T}^d:f_\xi=g_k\}+\xi_m}e(f_\xi(n))d\mu(\xi)\\ &=\sum_m\sum_k\mu(\{\xi\in\mathbb{T}^d:f_\xi=g_k\})e\Big(g_k(n)+f_{\xi_m}(n)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Since for each k,m, $\Big\{e\big(g_k(n)+f_{\xi_m}(n)\big)\Big\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a nilsequence, $\{b_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is also a nilsequence. The proof is completed. □

7. nilpotent case: Proof of Theorem B

In this section we will prove Theorem B. Our proof relies on some results developed by Bergelson and Leibman [3, 16].

7.1. G-polynomials.

Let G be a nilpotent group with unit e_G. For a sequence $g:\mathbb{Z}\to G$ in G, we define the *derivative* of g as the sequence $Dg:\mathbb{Z}\to G$ with $Dg(n)=g(n)^{-1}g(n+1)$. g is a *polynomial sequence* or *G-polynomial* if $D^dg\equiv e_G$ for some $d\in\mathbb{N}$.

It can be shown [15] that for a nilgroup G, a sequence g in G is a G-polynomial if and only if it is representable in the form

$$g(n)=U_1^{p_1(n)}\dots U_r^{p_r(n)},\tag{7.1}$$

where $U_1, \ldots, U_r \in G$ and $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ are integral polynomials. If $g(0) = e_G$, one can additionally assume that $p_1(0) = \ldots = p_r(0) = 0$.

7.2. **Decomposition of a Hilbert space.**

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and let G be a group of unitary operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is the sum of two G-invariant orthogonal subspaces:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^c(G) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{wm}(G) \tag{7.2}$$

such that G has discrete spectrum on $\mathcal{H}^c(G)$ and it is weakly mixing on $\mathcal{H}^{wm}(G)$. The space $\mathcal{H}^c(G)$ is spanned by finite-dimensional G-invariant subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$, and consists of vectors whose orbits under the action of G are precompact:

$$\mathcal{H}^c(G) = \{u \in \mathcal{H} : Gu \text{ is precompact in } \mathcal{H}\}.$$

The space $\mathcal{H}^{wm}(G)$ is the maximal G-invariant subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ such that for any unitary action of G on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{N}$, the space $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ does not contain non-zero elements which are invariant with respect to the G-action. If G is an amenable (in particular, abelian or nilpotent) group, then $\mathcal{H}^{wm}(G)$ can also be described as the maximal subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any $u \in \mathcal{H}^{wm}(G)$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}$, the set $\{T \in G : |\langle Tu, v\rangle| > \epsilon\}$ has zero density in G (with respect to a Føner sequence).

7.3. **Leibman's Result.**

Given $u \in \mathcal{H}$. We say G is *compact* on u if Gu is precompact in $\mathcal{H}$. Let T be a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}$. We say that T is *compact* on u if the group $\{T^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is compact on u. We say that T is *weakly mixing on* u if for all $v \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} |\langle T^n u, v\rangle| = 0.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{H}^c(T) = \{u \in \mathcal{H} : T \text{ is compact on } u\},$$
$$\mathcal{H}^{wm}(T) = \{u \in \mathcal{H} : T \text{ is weakly mixing on } u\}.$$

For $T \in G$, we have

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^c(T) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{wm}(T).$$

For a general group G of unitary operators on $\mathcal{H}$, one can only claim $\mathcal{H}^c(G) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^c(T)$ and hence $\mathcal{H}^{wm}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{wm}(G)$ for each $T \in G$. But if G is a finitely generated nilpotent group of unitary operators, then one can say more. In fact Leibman showed the following interesting theorem:

Theorem 7.1 (Leibman)**.** [16, Corollary 3.3] *Let G be a finitely generated nilpotent group of unitary operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and let $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r$ generate G. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}^c(G) = \bigcap_{T\in G} \mathcal{H}^c(T) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{H}^c(T_i). \tag{7.3}$$

7.4. **Bergelson-Leibman Theorem.**

Given a nilpotent group G of unitary operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and a G-polynomial $g(n)$ satisfying $g(0) = e_G$, Let E be the subgroup of G generated by the elements of g and let

$$H^{(l)}(g) = \{u \in \mathcal{H} : P^l u = u \text{ for all } P \in E\},\ l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

And let

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{rat}}(g) = \bigcup_{l\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}^{(l)}(g).$$

Theorem 7.2 (Bergelson-Leibman)**.** [3, Theorem D.] *Let G be a finitely generated nilpotent group of unitary operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. If $g(n)$ is a G-polynomial with $g(0) = e_G$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}$ is such that $u \perp \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{rat}}(g)$, then*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} g(n)u = 0.$$

7.5. **Some basic notations and facts.**

To prove Theorem B, we need more notations and facts. Recall that a *unitary representation* of G on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a map $G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which is a homomorphism into the group of unitary operators on $\mathcal{H}$. If U and V are unitary operators on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$, respectively, then there is a unitary operator $U \otimes V$ on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ determined on elementary tensors by $(U \otimes V)(\xi \otimes \zeta) = U\xi \otimes V\zeta$. The tensor product $\pi \otimes \rho$ of unitary representations $\pi : G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\rho : G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is the unitary representation of G given by $s \mapsto \pi(s) \otimes \rho(s)$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. Its conjugate $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is the Hilbert space which is the same as $\mathcal{H}$ as an additive group but with the scalar multiplication $(c, \xi) \mapsto \bar{c}\xi$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and inner product $\langle \overline{\xi}, \overline{\zeta}\rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} = \overline{\langle \xi, \zeta\rangle}_{\mathcal{H}}$. If $u \in \mathcal{H}$, we write $\overline{u}$ for the corresponding element in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. If U is a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}$, then the operator $\overline{U}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ which formally coincides with U is also unitary. Given a unitary representation $\pi : G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, its conjugate $\overline{\pi} : G \to \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{H}})$ is the unitary representation defined by $s \mapsto \overline{\pi(s)}$.

We will need the following well known facts:

Theorem 7.3. [6, Lemma 4.18.] *Let U and U' be unitary operators on Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}'$ respectively and let $w \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}'$ be an eigenvectors of $U \times U'$: $U \otimes U'w = \lambda w$. Then $w = \sum_n c_n u_n \otimes u'_n$ where $Uu_n = \lambda_n u_n, U'u'_n = \lambda'_n u_n$ and $\lambda_n\lambda'_n = \lambda$, and the sequences $\{u_n\}_n, \{u'_n\}_n$ are orthonormal.*

Proposition 7.4. *Let T be a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathcal{H}^c(T)$ is the closure of the space spanned by eigenvectors of T, and*

$$\mathcal{H}^c(T^k) = \mathcal{H}^c(T).$$

7.6. **Proof of Theorem B.**

Now we begin to prove Theorem B. First we need a result about a decomposition of $\mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 7.5. *Let G be a finitely generated nilpotent group of unitary operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and g be a G-polynomial with $g(0) = e_G$. Assume that E is the subgroup of G generated by $g(\mathbb{Z})$. Then for each $u \in (\mathcal{H}^c(E))^\perp$, one has that for all $v \in \mathcal{H}$,*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} |\langle g(n)u, v\rangle| = 0. \tag{7.4}$$

Proof. Let $\pi : G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the identical unitary representation. We consider the tensor product $\pi \otimes \overline{\pi}$ on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}$. Let $u \in (\mathcal{H}^c(E))^\perp$. We will show that $u \otimes \overline{u} \perp (\mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}})^{\mathrm{rat}}(g)$. Then by Theorem 7.2,

(7.5)

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} |\langle g(n)u, v\rangle|^2 = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \langle \pi\otimes\overline{\pi}(g(n))(u\otimes\overline{u}), v\otimes\overline{v}\rangle = 0,$$

which is equivalent to (7.4).

Now we show that $u \otimes \overline{u} \perp (\mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}})^{\mathrm{rat}}(g)$. Let $w \in (\mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}})^{\mathrm{rat}}(g)$. Then by definition there is some l such that $w \in (\mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}})^{(l)}(g)$, which means that $P^l w = w$ for all $P \in E$. For each fixed $P \in E$, by Theorem 7.3, $w = \sum_n c_n v_n \otimes v'_n$ where $P^l v_n = \lambda_n v_n, P^l v'_n = \lambda'_n v_n$ and $\lambda_n \lambda'_n = 1$, and the sequences $\{v_n\}_n, \{v'_n\}_n$ are orthonormal. By Proposition 7.4, $v_n \in \mathcal{H}^c(P^l) = \mathcal{H}^c(P)$ and $v'_n \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}^c(P^l) = \overline{\mathcal{H}}^c(P)$. Then we have $w \in \mathcal{H}^c(P) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}^c(P)$.

Since E is a subgroup of finitely generated nilpotent group G, E is also a finitely generated nilpotent group. Let $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r$ generate E. Then

$$w \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{H}^c(T_i) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}^c(T_i) = \big(\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{H}^c(T_i)\big) \otimes \big(\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} \overline{\mathcal{H}}^c(T_i)\big) = \mathcal{H}^c(E) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}^c(E)$$

where the last equality comes from (7.3).

Now since $u \in (\mathcal{H}^c(E))^\perp$, one has $\overline{u} \in (\overline{\mathcal{H}}^c(E))^\perp$. Hence $u \otimes \overline{u} \perp \mathcal{H}^c(E) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}}^c(E)$. In particular, $u \otimes \overline{u} \perp w$. Since $w \in (\mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}})^{\mathrm{rat}}(g)$ is arbitrary, $u \otimes \overline{u} \perp (\mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}})^{\mathrm{rat}}(g)$. The proof of Proposition is completed. □

Remark 7.6. One can restate Proposition 7.5 as follows. Let G be a finitely generated nilpotent group of unitary operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and g be a G-polynomial with $g(0) = e_G$. Assume that E is the subgroup of G generated by $g(\mathbb{Z})$. Then we have the following decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^c(E) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{wm}(E),$$

and $\mathcal{H}^{wm}(E) = \{u \in \mathcal{H} : \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} |\langle g(n)u, v\rangle| = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{H}\}$. Note that $\mathcal{H}^c(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{wm}(E)$ are E-invariant.

Using the language of G-polynomials, we can restate Theorem B as follows:

Theorem 7.7. *Let G be a finitely generated nilpotent group of unitary operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and g be a G-polynomial. Then for all $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ the sequence*

$$\{a_n = \langle g(n)u, v\rangle\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

is a sum of a nilsequence and a zero-density-sequence, i.e. an almost nilsequence.

Proof. Without loss generality, one may assume that $g(0) = e_G$ (if necessary we replace $g(n)$ and u by $g(n)(g(0))^{-1}$ and $g(0)u$). Let E be the subgroup of G generated by $g(\mathbb{Z})$. Then by (7.2), we have the decompositions

$$u = u_c + u_w,\ v = v_c + v_w,$$

where $u_c, v_c \in \mathcal{H}^c(E), u_w, v_w \in \mathcal{H}^{wm}(E)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\langle g(n)u, v\rangle &= \langle g(n)u_c, v_c\rangle + \langle g(n)u_c, v_w\rangle + \langle g(n)u_w, v_c\rangle + \langle g(n)u_w, v_w\rangle\\ &= \langle g(n)u_c, v_c\rangle + \langle g(n)u_w, v_w\rangle\end{aligned}$$

Since $u_w \in (\mathcal{H}^c(E))^\perp$, the latter one term is zero-density-sequences by Proposition 7.5. So it is left to show that $\langle g(n)u_c, v_c\rangle$ is a nilsequence. It will follows from the following Claim.

Claim: *For all E-polynomials $h(n)$ with $h(0) = e_G$ and $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{H}^c(E)$, one has that $\langle h(n)u_1, u_2\rangle$ is a nilsequence.*

Proof of Claim: By (7.1), since E is a nilpotent group and $h(n)$ is a E-polynomial, one can find $U_1, \ldots, U_r \in E$ such that

$$h(n) = U_1^{p_1(n)} U_2^{p_2(n)} \cdots U_r^{p_r(n)},$$

where $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ are integral polynomials with $p_1(0) = \ldots = p_r(0) = 0$. We prove it by induction on r.

First $r = 1$. Let $h(n) = U_1^{p_1(n)}$ such that $U_1 \in E$ and p_1 is an integer polynomial with $p_1(0) = 0$. Let $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{H}^c(E)$. We show that $\langle h(n)u_1, u_2\rangle$ is a nilsequence.

Since $u_1 \in \mathcal{H}^c(E) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^c(U_1)$ and $\mathcal{H}^c(U_1)$ is the closure of the linear span of eigenvectors of U_1, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is $u' = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j f_j$ such that $\|u_1 - u'\| < \epsilon/(\|u_2\| + 1)$, where $U_1 f_j = e(\theta_j) f_j$ for each $1 \le j \le k$.

Note that

$$\langle U_1^{p_1(n)} u', u_2\rangle = \sum_j c_j \langle U_1^{p_1(n)} f_j, u_2\rangle = \sum_j c_j e(p_1(n)\theta_j)\langle f_j, u_2\rangle$$

is a nilsequence, since $\{e(p_1(n)\theta_j)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a nilsequence for each j.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}&|\langle U_1^{p_1(n)} u_1, u_2\rangle - \langle U_1^{p_1(n)} u', u_2\rangle| \le \|U_1^{p_1(n)}(u_1 - u')\|\ \|u_2\|\\ &= \|u_1 - u'\|\|u_2\| \le \epsilon,\end{aligned}$$

which means that $\{\langle U_1^{p_1(n)} u_1, u_2\rangle\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is the limit of nilsequences in $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$. Hence $\{\langle U_1^{p_1(n)} u_1, u_2\rangle\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a nilsequence itself.

Now assume the claim holds for r, and we show the case for $r + 1$. Let

$$h(n) = U_1^{p_1(n)} \ldots U_{r+1}^{p_{r+1}(n)},$$

where $U_1, \ldots, U_{r+1} \in E$ and $p_1, \ldots, p_{r+1}$ are integral polynomials with $p_1(0) = \ldots = p_r(0) = p_{r+1}(0) = 0$. We will show that $\{\langle h(n)u_1, u_2\rangle\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a nilsequence.

Notice that $\mathcal{H}^c(E)$ is invariant under U_{r+1}. Since $\mathcal{H}^c(U_{r+1}|_{\mathcal{H}^c(E)})$ is the closure of the linear span of eigenvectors of U_{r+1} on $\mathcal{H}^c(E)$, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is some $u' = \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j f_j$ such that $\|u_1 - u'\| < \epsilon/(\|u_2\| + 1)$, where $f_j \in \mathcal{H}^c(E)$ and $U_{r+1}f_j = e(\theta_j)f_j$ for each $1 \le j \le k$.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle h(n)u', u_2\rangle &= \langle U_1^{p_1(n)} \ldots U_r^{p_r(n)} U_{r+1}^{p_{r+1}(n)} u', u_2\rangle \\ &= \sum_j c_j \langle U_1^{p_1(n)} \ldots U_r^{p_r(n)} U_{r+1}^{p_{r+1}(n)} f_j, u_2\rangle \\ &= \sum_j c_j e(p_{r+1}(n)\theta_j)\langle U_1^{p_1(n)} \ldots U_r^{p_r(n)} f_j, u_2\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since $h'(n) = U_1^{p_1(n)} \ldots U_r^{p_r(n)}$ is still a E-polynomial with $p_1(0) = \ldots = p_r(0) = 0$ and $f_j, u_2 \in \mathcal{H}^c(E)$, by inductive assumption $\{\langle U_1^{p_1(n)} \ldots U_r^{p_r(n)} f_j, u_2\rangle\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a nilsequence. Combining this with the fact $\{e(p_1(n)\theta_j)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ being a nilsequence for each j, one has that $\{\langle h(n)u', u_2\rangle\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a nilsequence.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}&|\langle h(n)u_1, u_2\rangle - \langle h(n)u', u_2\rangle| \le \|h(n)(u_1 - u')\| \, \|u_2\| \\ &= \|u_1 - u'\|\|u_2\| \le \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

That means $\{\langle h(n)u_1, u_2\rangle\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is the limit of nilsequences in $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$. Hence $\{\langle h(n)u_1, u_2\rangle\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a nilsequence itself. By induction the proof is completed. □

References

[1] L. Auslander, L. Green and F. Hahn, *Flows on Homogeneous Spaces* (Annals of Mathematical Studies, 53). Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1963.

[2] V. Bergelson, B. Host and B. Kra, *Multiple recurrence and nilsequences. With an appendix by Imre Ruzsa*, Invent. Math. 160 (2005), No. 2, 261–303.

[3] V. Bergelson, A. Leibman, *A nilpotent Roth theorem*, Invent. Math. 147 (2002), No. 2, 429–470.

[4] N.P. Brown, *Topological entropy in exact C^*-algebras*, Math. Ann. 314 (1999), 347–367

[5] L.J. Corwin and F.P. Greenleaf, *Representations of nilpotent Lie groups and their applications*. Part I. Basic theory and examples, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 18, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. viii+269 pp.

[6] H. Furstenberg, *Recurrence in ergodic theory and combinatorial number theory*, M. B. Porter Lectures. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1981.

[7] B. Green, T. Tao, *Linear equations in primes*, Ann. of Math. (2) 171 (2010), No. 3, 1753–1850.

[8] B. Green, T. Tao, *The Möbius function is strongly orthorgonal to nilsequences*, Ann. Math. (2), 175 (2012), 541–566.

[9] B. Host, B. Kra, *Analysis of two step nilsequences*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 58 (2008), No. 5, 1407–1453.

[10] B. Host, B. Kra and A. Maass, *Nilsequences and a structure theory for topological dynamical systems*, Adv. Math., 224 (2010) 103–129.

[11] R. Kadison, J. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Vol. I*, Elementary theory. Reprint of the 1983 original. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 15. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
[12] D. Kerr, H.F. Li, *Dynamical entropy in Banach spaces*, Invent. Math. 162 (2005), No. 3, 649–686.
[13] D. Kerr, H.F. Li, *Positive Voiculescu-Brown entropy in non-commutative toral automorphisms*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 26 (2006), No. 6, 1855–1866.
[14] L. Kronecker, *Zwei Sätze über Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Coefficienten* (German), J. Reine Angew. Math. 53 (1857), 173–175.
[15] A. Leibman, *Polynomial sequences in groups*, J. Algebra, 201 (1998), No. 1, 189–206.
[16] A. Leibman, *The structure of unitary actions of finitely generated nilpotent groups*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 20 (2000), No. 3, 809–820.
[17] A. Leibman, *Pointwise convergence of ergodic averages for polynomial sequences of translations on a nilmanifold*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 25 (2005), 201–213.
[18] A. Leibman, *Nilsequences, null-sequences, and multiple correlation sequences*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 35 (2015), 176–191.
[19] H.F. Li, Personal communication.
[20] J.Y. Liu, P. Sarnak, *The Möbius function and distal fows*, Duke Math. J. 164 (2015), No. 7, 1353–1399.
[21] M. Rieffel, *Noncommutative tori – a case study of noncommutative differentiable manifolds.* Geometric and topological invariants of elliptic operators (Brunswick, ME, 1988), 191–211, Contemp. Math., 105, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990.
[22] P. Sarnak, *Three lectures on the Möius function, randomness and dynamics*, http://publications.ias.edu/sarnak/.
[23] P. Sarnak, *Mobius randomness and dynamics*, Not. S. Afr. Math. Soc. 43 (2012), No. 2, 89–97.
[24] P. Sarnak, A. Ubis, *The horocycle flow at prime times*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 103 (2015), no. 2, 575–618.
[25] Y. Sinaĭ, *On the concept of entropy for a dynamical system*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 124 (1959), 768–771.
[26] J.C. Várilly, *An introduction to noncommutative geometry*, EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2006.
[27] H. Weyl, *Uber die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod Eins*, Math. Ann. 77 (1916), 313–352.
[28] J.S. Wu, W. Yuan, *Möbius Disjointness Conjecture for Exact C^* Algebras*, arXiv:1404.4916

Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics, USTC, Chinese Academy of Sciences and Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, P.R. China.
E-mail address: wenh@mail.ustc.edu.cn
E-mail address: songshao@ustc.edu.cn
E-mail address: yexd@ustc.edu.cn

Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics, USTC, Chinese Academy of Sciences and Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, P.R. China, and Department of Mathematics, SUNY at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260-2900, U.S.A.
E-mail address: lianzx@mail.ustc.edu.cn, zhengxin@buffalo.edu